



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	<b>√</b> 0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,986		11/26/2003	Giuseppe Dal Pra'	CAM3-PT037.1	9023
3624	7590	03/22/2005		EXAMINER	
		ENIG, P.C.	CHARLES, MARCUS		
UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600 30 SOUTH 17TH STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103				3682	
				DATE MAILED: 03/22/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

۸/		Application No.	Applicant(s)	/-			
V	Office Astion Summer	10/722,986	DAL PRA', GIUSEPPE				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit .				
	71 1441 100 547	Marcus Charles	3682				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reput or poly is specified above, the maximum statutory period period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statution reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may oly within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) Notes the application to become	a reply be timely filed  hirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ı.			
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 E	December 2004					
		s action is non-final.					
3)□	/ <del>-</del>		atters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	sed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) 2-21 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,22 and 23 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 November 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The specific and the spec	are: a) accepted or by drawing(s) be held in abey tion is required if the drawi	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	).			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received.  ts have been received in  ority documents have been  u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No. <u>09/994,718</u> . en received in this National Stage				
Attachmen		_					
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infor	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>12-22-04</u> . NOT CONSIDERED	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 10/722,986

Art Unit: 3682

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

This action is responsive to the amendment filed 12/22/2004, which has been entered.

Claims 1-23 are currently pending.

#### Terminal Disclaimer

1. The terminal disclaimer filed on 12-22-2004 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of US patent no 6, 685,586 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed 12-22-2004 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

In addition, the serial number (10/722,989) on the IDS does not match the present application serial number (10/722,986).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3682

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP (0413053) in view of JP ('04222865). EP ('461053) discloses a rocker assembly for a rear derailleur comprising an inner and outer plate (2, 3), a pair of idler wheels (1) between the plates and intended to corporate with a chain of bicycle and the inner plate is made from a metallic material, a wheel (6) mounted on a pin (2b). EP('461053) does not disclose that the outer plate (3) is made structural fiber fabric incorporated in a plastic material matrix. JP ('04222865) discloses a material made of a fiber fabric in combination with a thermoplastic matrix and subjected to compression molding in order to produce a lightweight structure, that is non-easily contaminated due to exposure to undesirable atmospheric elements and to obtain uniform shape and properties throughout the structure. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the outer plate of EP ('461053) so that it is made from fiber fabric in combination with a thermoplastic matrix and subjected to compression molding in view of JP ('04222865) in order to produce a lightweight structure, that is non-easily contaminated due to exposure to undesirable atmospheric elements and obtain uniform shape and properties throughout the structure.

4. Claims 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Juy (3,910,136) in view of EP ('461053). Juy discloses the claimed invention including the outer plate made out of plastic with reinforced material and the plate has a cross-sectional channel profile. Juy does not disclose the outer plate is made from fiber compression molded fabric sheets. It is well known in the art to combine plastic with reinforced fiber in compressed molded fabric sheet in order to improved strength while

Art Unit: 3682

maintaining reduced weight. EP ('461053) discloses the claimed invention above.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the outer plate of EP ('461053) so that it is made from fiber fabric in combination with a thermoplastic matrix and subjected to compression molding in view of JP ('04222865) in order to produce a lightweight structure, that is non-easily contaminated due to exposure to undesirable atmospheric elements and obtain uniform shape and properties throughout the structure.

In addition, Juy does not disclose the fiber compression molded fabric comprises a plurality of sheets. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make the outer plate with several molded sheets in order to increase strength and it has been held that forming in one piece an article which has formerly been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art. Howard v. Detroit Stove Works, 150 U.S.164 (1893).

#### Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 2-21 are allowed.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 12-22 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant argument that reference is in appropriate and the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account

Art Unit: 3682

only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marcus Charles whose telephone number is (703) 305-6877. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bucci can be reached on (703) 308-3668. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.,

March 15, 2005